Subject-initial V3 in adverbial clauses in Icelandic

Xindan Xu & Ásgrímur Angantýsson University of Iceland

Third International Conference on Adverbial Clauses, University of Göttingen, October 5-6, 2023

1. Introduction

Ungrammatical/exceptional subject-initial V3 in a "core V2 language" (Holmberg 2015) like Icelandic:

(1)	a. Jón hefur John has	ekki not	lesið read	bókina book-t				(Vfin-Adv / V2)
	b. *Jón ekki	hefur	lesið	bókina	l .			(Adv-Vfin / V3)
	John not	has	read	book-t	he			
(2)	a. Ég held	að	Jón	hafi	ekki	lesið	bókina.	(Vfin-Adv / V2)
	I think	that	John	has	not	read	book-the	
	b. ?*Ég	held	að	Jón	ekki	hafi	lesið bókina	. (Adv-Vfin / V3)
	I think	that	John	not	has	read	book-the	
(3)	a. Jón sagði	margt	merkil	egt		en	það	
	John said	many	interes	ting (th	ings)	but	that	
	sem	hann	sagði	ekki	var	enn	áhugaverðara.	(Vfin-Adv / V2)
	which	he	said	not	was	more	interesting	
	b. Jón sagði	margt	merki	legt		en	það	
	John said	many	interes	ting (th	ings)	but	that	
	sem	hann	ekki	sagði	var	enn	áhugaverðara.	(Adv-Vfin / V3)
	which	he	not	said	was	more	interesting	

Why examining subject-initial V3 in adverbial clauses in Icelandic?

- Central adverbial clauses tend to resist main clause phenomena such as topicalization in V2-languages such as Icelandic, while peripheral adverbial clauses tend to permit such phenomenon (Haegeman's 2012 and much later work; see also Angantýsson and Jonas 2016 for Icelandic).
- The results from the Syntactic Variation Project in Iceland (Thráinsson et al. 2015) indicate that there is a negative relationship between topicalization and subjectinitial V3 constructions.
- Given that subject-initial V3 is generally not an option in main clauses and less acceptable in *that*-clauses than in relative clauses, for instance, one might expect that it receives different judgements in different types of adverbial clauses, depending on the embedding level of the adverbial clause in question.
- Following Frey's (2016, 2020) and Badan and Haegeman's (2023) typology, the V3 construction was investigated in terms of **three types of adverbial clauses**:
 - o central adverbial clauses (CACs)
 - o peripheral adverbial clauses (PACs)
 - o **non-integrated** adverbial clauses (NICs)

- We hypothesize that NICs (being the most "matrix-like" type) allow such V3 orders less freely than PACs, and that PACs in turn allow it less freely than CACs.
- Results from the acceptability judgement data suggest that the NICs indeed receive lower overall rating than the other two types. However, very little difference was observed between CACs and PACs.
- Should be kept in mind that if different embedding levels of ACs can result in different interpretations.
- Further testings are needed in order to control for the relevant interpretations.

Organization of the talk:

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Background
- 3. Survey design and method
- 4. Selected results
- 5. Conclusions and directions for research

2. Background

V2 is the default word order in all types of subject-initial embedded clauses in Icelandic, unlike Swedish, for instance (see, for instance, Holmberg & Platzack 1995; Vikner 1995, Bobaljik and Thráinsson 1998; and much later work):

(4) Subject-initial V2 in embedded clauses:

a. Ég spurði hvort Jón hefði ekki séð myndina. (Icelandic) asked Iohn had not seen movie-the 'I asked if John had not seen the movie.' b. ?*Ég spurði hvort Jón ekki hefði séð myndina. (Icelandic) asked Ι if John not had seen movie-the c. *Jag frågade Jon hade inte sett filmen. (Swedish) om asked Iohn had movie-the not seen om filmen. d. Jag frågade Jon inte hade sett (Swedish) asked if Iohn not had movie-the Ι seen 'I asked if John had not seen the movie.'

This syntactic difference has frequently been connected with the different degrees of verbal morphological inflection in these languages.

Even though the finite verb usually precedes the sentence adverb in Icelandic, **the adverb** can precede the verb in some embedded clauses as shown in (5):

(5) **Subject-initial V3** in relative clauses:

- íslensk a. Það ein mynd sem Haraldur hefur ekki séð. Icelandic movie that Harold has there is one not seen
- b. (?)Það íslensk Haraldur ein mvnd sem ekki hefur séð. one Icelandic movie Harold there is that not has seen
- c. Það íslensk er ein mynd sem hann ekki hefur séð. Icelandic movie that one he not has seen 'There is one Icelandic movie that Harold/he has not seen.'

The word order as illustrated in (5a) is definitely unmarked, but, as can be seen from the remaining examples, the V3 order is also possible. Example (5b), with a proper noun in the subject position, are slightly marked, as opposed to (5c), which has an unstressed pronoun as a subject.

Table 1 presents examples of **Adv-Vfin (V3)** order as well as the (default) **Vfin-Adv (V2)** order for comparison:

		%Youngest group (197 informants)					
		OK	?	*	ОК	?	*
72)	Ég held <u>að</u> Anna hafi ekki lesið bókina. I think that Anna had not read book-the 'I think that Anna has not read the book.'	93.9	3.6	2.6	98.1	1.9	0
73)	Ég held <u>að</u> Stebbi ekki hafi þvegið gólfið. I think that Stebbi not has washed floor-the 'I think that Steve has not washed the floor.'	27	4.6	68.4	13.4	3.8	82.8
72)	Hún spurði <u>hvort</u> þeir hefðu alltaf verið she asked whether they had always been flughræddir. afraid-of-flying 'She asked whether they had always been afraid of flying.'	82.1	11.7	6.1	88.5	7.1	4.5
3)	Hún spurði hvort þeir alltaf hefðu verið she asked whether they always had been hræddir við mýs. afraid of mice 'She asked whether they always had been afraid of mice.'	18.4	4.6	77	8.9	51	86
2)	Par var alls konar matur <u>sem</u> henni there was all kind food that she líkaði ekki. liked not						
73)	'There was all kinds of food that she didn't like.' Par var margt fólk sem hann ekki þekkti. there were many people who he not knew	75	14.8	10.2	96.2	2.5	1.3
	'There were many people there who he didn't know.'	30.8	16.9	52.3	46.8	24.1	29.1

Table 1: Comparison of V2 and V3 in subject-initial embedded clauses

Most speakers accept the Vfin-Adv order as expected. In the *that*-clause (6) and the indirect question (7), the V3 order receives a relatively higher score among the younger speakers than among the older informants, while we get the reverse situation in relative clauses as (8).

Table 2 presents examples of **topicalization** (non-subject fronting) in *that*-clauses that are complements of different types of matrix predicates (Thráinsson and Angantýsson 2015). According to Hooper and Thompson's theory, main clause phenomena like topicalization should be most acceptable in complements of predicates of types A, B, and E (therefore, they precede C and D in Table 2):

				ngest g nforma		%Oldest group (166 informants)		
			OK	?	*	ОК	?	*
(9)	Hann sagði <u>að</u> þjóðsönginn gæti He said that national song-the hann ekki sungið. could he not sing 'He said that he could not sing the national anthem.'	A		26.9%	56.7%	69.1%	15.8%	15.2%
(10)	Hann hélt <u>að</u> þá mynd hefðum við He thought that that movie had we ekki séð. not seen 'He thought that we had not seen that movie.'	В				67.1%		
(11)	Ég veit þó <u>að</u> til Aþenu hefur hún I know though that to Athens has she aldrei komið. never come 'I do however know that she has never been to Athens.'	Е				86.7%		
(12)	Hann uppgötvaði <u>að</u> þá bók hafði He discovered that that book had hann ekki lesið. he not read	Е		25.60	20.50	0.4.70	7.40/	00/
(13)	'He discovered that he had not read that book.' Ég efast samt um að þennan mann I doubt however that this man hafi hún hitt. has she met 'Nonetheless, I doubt, that she has met this			25.6%	30./%	84.7%	7.4%	8%
(14)	man.' Ráðherrann harmar <u>að</u> það mál The minister regrets that that matter skuli þeir ekki hafa rætt. should they not have discussed 'The minister regrets that they had not	D				55.8%		25.2%
	discussed that matter.'		26.6%	27.6%	45.7%	40%	18.8%	41.2%

Table 2: Topicalization in *that*-clauses

In general, the youngest speakers do not accept ET as readily as the oldest speakers, and this difference is statistically significant in examples (9–12) (see Thráinsson, Angantýsson, and Viðarsson 2015: 284–5). Among the oldest informants, the acceptability of topicalization depends to a certain extent on the type of the predicate in the matrix clause.

Table 3 shows the judgements of topicalization in an indirect question and XP-fronting in a relative clauses with an overt subject (see also Thráinsson and Angantýsson 2015):

		%Youngest group (201 informants)			%Oldest group (166 informants)		
		ОК	?	*	ОК	?	*
(15)	Ég veit þó ekki <u>hvort</u> til Rómar I know though not whether to Rome hefur hún komið. has she come 'I do not however know whether she has been to Rome.'	4%	10.9%	85.1%	0.6%	7.9%	91.5%
(16)	Þetta er strákurinn <u>sem</u> í París hitti This is the <u>boy</u> who in Paris met ég síðast. I last time 'This is the boy who I met in Paris last time.'			85.1%			95.7%

Table 3:Topicalization in indirect questions and relative clauses

In both age groups (and overall), topicalization received a very low overall score in indirect questions (15) and in a relative clause with an overt subject (16). This is consistent with Magnússon's (1990) survey of the acceptability of ET in clauses of this type and not surprising from a comparative perspective (see, for instance, Rizzi 2001; Cinque 2004; Haegeman 2012a; and references there for discussions on intervention effects in clauses of this type).

No examples of topicalization in adverbial clauses were included in the IceDiaSyn questionnaires, but there are several mentions in the literature regarding the (im)possibility of fronting in adverbial clauses:

- Some scholars seem to assume that topicalization is not possible in adverbial clauses (Franco 2009: 146; Hrafnbjargarson and Wiklund 2009: 28).
- Others accept it to some extent (Angantýsson 2011; Magnússon 1990; Rögnvaldsson and Thráinsson 1990: 25).

Haegeman (2012a, and much previous work) argues that there is a crucial difference between the external and internal syntax of 'central' adverbial clauses (CACs) and 'peripheral' adverbial clauses (PACs):

- Central adverbial clauses are adjoined to the VP or IP/TP, while peripheral clauses are coordinated with the associate clause.
- In a V2-language such as Icelandic the peripheral ones should allow main clause phenomena, while the central ones should not.

This prediction seems to be borne out (Angantýsson 2011; see also Angantýsson and Jonas 2016):

CAC temporal

a. *María sótti tíma á meðan ÞÍNA bók beir að nota (17)your book they Mary attended classes while using were á meðan MÍN var notuð. while but not mine was used 'Mary attended courses while they were using your book but not while mine was used.'

PAC contrast

b. ?Á meðan ÞÍNA bók að nota námskeiðum beir tveimur while your book they using courses are two hafa beir ekki einu sinni pantað MÍNA á bókasafnið. at the library have they not even ordered mine 'While they are using your book in two courses, they haven't even ordered mine.'

Since the subject-initial V3 is almost impossible in main clauses and quite hard to get in *that*-clauses, we **hypothesize that this word order is most acceptable in central adverbial** clauses, less so in peripheral adverbial clauses, and least so in non-integrated avberbial clauses. The following judgements are based on Antantýsson's native intuition, but, importantly though, it should be kept in mind that many speakers dislike subject-initial V3 orders in general (see Angantýsson & Jedrzejowski 2023):

- a. Jón spyr og spyr [CP af því að hann ekki veit].

 Jón ask.3sG and ask.3sG because he NEG know.3sG

 'Jón asks and asks because he doesn't know.'
 - b. [?]Jón hlýtur að vita allt um málið, [CP af því að hann Jón must.3sG know.INF everything about case.ACC because he ekki spyr].
 NEG ask.3sG

'Jón must know everything about the case, since he doesn't ask.'

c. **Ætlarðu einn í bíó [CP af því að þú ekki spurðir hvort go.2sG alone to cinema.ACC because you NEG ask.2sG whether ég vildi koma með].

I want.1sg.pst come.inf along.

Intended: 'Are you going to the cinema alone, because you didn't ask whether I wanted to come along.'

Interestingly, the prediction that this order should be hard to get in non-integrated adverbial clauses as (18c) is borne out. The peripheral evidential causal clause (EC) in (18b) is somewhat marked while the central eventuality related causal clause (18a) is fine. In section 5, we will come back to the problem of testing for different interpretations in an online survey like the one presented here.

If we are on the right track, we might be developing an additional diagnosis tool for the subcategorization of adverbial clauses in a core V2 language like Icelandic. Obviously, however, further testing is needed, so let us now consider the results from the present study.

Interim conclusion

In addition to the V3 word order, topicalization in subordinate clauses in Icelandic was examined in the Syntactic Variation Project in Iceland (Thráinsson et al. 2015). It was observed among other things that topicalization received better judgement in *that*-clauses clauses than in relative clauses. V3 word order, on the other hand, received better judgements in relative clauses than in *that*-clauses clauses. Furthermore, participants' age seems to have effect on their judgement in V3 order in embedded clauses in Icelandic. Younger speakers seem to be more likely to accept V3 word order in *that*-clauses while in other types of embedded clauses, it is the older speakers who are more likely to consider them to be normal. Based on these results, one might expect that there is some negative correlation between topicalization and the V3 word order.

3. Survey design and method

An acceptability judgement test was administered for subject-initial V2/V3 in different types of adverbial clauses in Icelandic, in terms of both semantic categories and syntactic categories in Badan and Haegeman's (2022) typology.

The semantic category consisted of 6 levels:

- causal clause
- concessive clause
- conditional clause
- purpose clause
- resultative clause
- temporal clause

The syntactic category consisted of 3 levels:

- central adverbial clause (CAC)
- peripheral adverbial clause (PAC)
- non-integrated adverbial clause (NIC)

Non-integrated clauses were only tested in causal clauses in this study. Thus, six test sentences were created for six conditions (V2 and V3 for each pair):

- two with central causal clauses (19)
- two with peripheral AC (20)
- two with non-integrated AC (21)

Examples of test sentences (see further appendix 1):

Central causal clauses

(19) a. V2

Dóri litli þóttist vera veikur vegna pess að hann \underline{vildi} ekki koma Dóri little pretend.PST be sick because he $\underline{want.PST}$ \underline{NEG} come

með í bátsferð. with to boat trip.

'Little Dóri pretended to be sick because he did not want to come along to the boat trip.'

b. V3

Við þurfum að kaupa nýjan mat handa kettinum okkar af því að hann We need to buy new food for cat-the.M our because he ekki borðar fisk.

NEG eat.38G fish.

'We need to buy new food for out cat because he doesn't eat fish.'

(20) Peripheral causal clauses

a. V2

Kötturinn okkar er líklega veikur fyrst hann $\underline{\text{hefur ekki}}$ klárað cat-the.M our be.3sg líkely sick.M since he $\underline{\text{have.3sg NEG}}$ finish.PP matinn sinn í nokkra daga. food its in few.PL dag.PL.

'Our cat is likely sick since he has not finished his food last few days.'

b. V3

Stefán hlýtur að vera grænmetisæta vegna pess $a\delta$ hann aldrei vill borða Stefán must to be vegetarian because he vegetarian eat.INF kjöt.

'Stefán must be vegetarian because he never wants to eat meat.'

(21) Non-integrated causal clauses

a. V2

Ætlarðu einn í bíó, af því að þú spurðir ekki hvort ég vildi go.2sg alone to cinema, because you ask.2sg.PST NEG wether I want.PST koma með. come with.

'Are you going to the cinema alone, becuase you didn't ask wether I wanted to come along '

b. V3

Hvernig ertu fjárhagslega, af því að ég ekki get borgað leigu í þessum how you.2sg financially, because I $\overline{\text{NEG can}}$ pay.PP rent in this.M mánuði. month.M

'How are you doing financially, because I can't pay rent this month.

Based on the previous discussion, one might expect the following:

- Sentences with the unmarked V2 order should get better scores than the marked V3 order
- V3 in non-integrated adverbial clauses such as (21b) should get worse overall scores than the other two types.
- V3 in central adverbial clauses such as (19b) should get better scores than sentences with peripheral adverbial clauses like (20b).

A total of 120 sentences therefore were tested in the study.

- Half of them form minimal pairs with the other half.
- These 120 test sentences were further divided into **six versions**, each of which contains 20 sentences.
- Filler sentences, sentences which are not part of the factorial design in the study, were added to each version of the questionnaire.

The data was collected through an **online survey** where participants evaluate sentences that contain grammatical variables. Statistical analyses were performed to see whether there is any correlation between the variables and whether the differences between them are statistically significant.

- The participants were chosen at random and the only condition was that the participant had to have Icelandic as their first language.
- It was recommended that people with a university education in Icelandic or linguistics not participate.
- The participation was completely anonymous.
- In the end, a total of 570 people took part in the survey, of which 407 completed the survey.
- The main method was to ask the participants to rate sentences according to how natural they think the sentences are, on a 7-point Likert scale from -3 up to +3, where 0 is the neutral point.
- The scale in the survey was extreme-labeled, meaning that only the lowest and highest points were given a label, i.e. -3 = "Unacceptable (impossible) sentence. I could not say this at all." and +3 = "A completely normal sentence. I can easily say this".

The survey was divided into three parts:

- 1) Instructions
- 2) Background questions (age, gender, regional origin and education).
- 3) 60 sentences to be judged, of which 20 test sentences and 40 filler sentences.

An effort was made to filter out answers from participants who might have rated the sentences randomly.

- This was done by checking whether the participants give a negative rating, i.e. scores lower than 0, for four filler sentences that are completely normal.
- If a participant has given negative ratings for all four of these sentences, the participant will be eliminated from further analysis.
- No such responses were found.

4. Selected results

A total of 407 people participated in the survey and each of them judged 20 test sentences.

pragmatic	response.mean	response.median	zscore.mean	zscore.median
V2				
CAC	5.24	6	0.462	0.782
PAC	4.98	6	0.361	0.697
NON-IC	3.25	3	-0.327	-0.481
V3				
CAC	2.64	1	-0.566	-0.934
PAC	2.48	1	-0.638	-0.954
NON-IC	2.29	1	-0.735	-0.954

Table 4: Overview of V2/V3 orders in Icelandic adverbial clauses

Some points from *Table 4*:

- Sentences with V2 generally received more positive judgements from the participants than sentences with V3.
- Sentences with the syntactic categories CAC and PAC with traditional word order (V2) both received a median score of 6 and an average score of around 5.
- Non-integrated adverbial clauses (NICs) with V2 order received a negative median score of 3 which corresponds to -1 in the survey.
- All categories with V3 construction received negative scores in both mean and median, and the median for all categories is 1, which is the lowest score.
- Standardized Z-scores tell a similar story, all categories with the V3 construction received negative scores at both mean and median.
- Non-integrated adverbial clauses, both with traditional word order (V2) and V3 word order, received negative scores.

Looking at the percentage of participants' judgements which gave positive, neutral and negative answers, most participants seem to have a clear tendency to choose between positive (>0) and negative (<0) answers and not neutral (0).

	Yes	?	No
CAC	0.234	0.042	0.724
PAC	0.205	0.037	0.757
NON-IC	0.150	0.064	0.786

Table 5: Proportional results on positive and negative judgements **on the V3 orders** according to the syntactic types.

Table 5 shows that the majority, or over 70% of the participants, gave negative answers to sentences with V3 word order in all three syntactic categories. Slightly more people gave positive answers to central adverbial clauses (23.4%) than to peripheral adverbial sentences (20.5%). Non-integrated adverbial clauses tested received 15% positive responses from the participants. Even though the difference is quite small, this result is consistent with our

hypothesis, that central adverbial clauses would get better judgement than peripheral adverbial clauses and that non-integrated clauses would get worse judgement than the other two types of ACs in Icelandic.

Connection with age

The relationship between the age groups can be seen in Table 5, both for sentences with V2 and V3 word order.

Age	response.mean	response.median	zscore.mean	zscore.median
V2				
16-29	5.39	6	0.459	0.788
30-39	5.11	6	0.365	0.713
40-49	4.90	6	0.307	0.587
50-59	4.77	6	0.281	0.599
60 or older	4.80	6	0.341	0.698
V3				
16-29	2.34	1	-0.750	-1.015
30-39	2.38	1	-0.712	-1.012
40 - 49	2.63	1	-0.602	-0.925
50-59	2.50	1	-0.602	-0.944
60 or older	2.60	1	-0.562	-0.901

Table 6: Results on sentences with both V2 and V3 constructions by age groups

Some points from *Table 6*:

- The difference between the age groups is not great.
- However, sentences with V2 received a higher score in the younger age groups than in the older age groups, and the reverse is the case for sentences with V3 construction, i.e. the older age groups gave higher rating than the younger age groups.
- This difference is consistent with the results from the Variation Project discussed in section 2.

5. Conclusions and directions for research

First of all, the results of the survey show that sentences with V2 construction usually received a more positive evaluation from the participants than sentences with V3 construction, either for sentences in different semantic categories or in different syntactic categories. When looking only at sentences with the V3 construction, there seems to be a difference in the participants' evaluations between different semantic categories and syntactic categories, although the difference is not large and is only statistically significant between certain categories. In the syntactic categories, the difference seems to be only significant between central and non-integrated adverbial clauses. There does not appear to be a significant difference between central and peripheral adverbial clauses, as was expected according to the theories mentioned in section 2.

Non-integrated adverbial clauses were generally given negative scores by participants, even for sentences with traditional V2 word order. In different semantic categories, the difference was again not great, but statistically significant between many of them. Purpose and concessive clauses seem to have received better ratings from the participants, while result clauses received worse ratings than other types of adverbial

sentences. In terms of social factors, only age group and residence seem to show statistically significant differences between the different groups for adverbial sentences with V3 word order. Participants from the older age groups (40 years and older) gave a slightly higher score than those from the younger age groups (between 16 and 39 years). However, there is again little or no difference between the older age groups and between the younger age groups.

Despite these results, a quantitative research method such as the one applied in this study has its limitations, especially in grammatical judgement tests. In these tests, the data only shows the scores each participant gives to a certain sentence, but it is impossible to know how the participants interpret the sentences. A participant could for example give negative scores to a sentence because of the style or use of specific wordings and such other than the grammatical variables that were being tested in the survey design. Thus, qualitative research methods, such as interviews, could possibly resolve these issues. Some kind of individual "training" of the subjects might even be necessary.

Finally, the survey was designed so that each participant evaluated 20 test sentences, so that the data actually contains repeated measures and the data points are therefore not independent. This could affect the results. It might be useful to use mixed models to analyze the results from the survey data, where more explanatory variables can be taken into account as fixed effects and individual variation can also be accounted for as random effects. But due to the size of the data and number of the variables, this will probably be better looked into in larger projects.

References

- Angantýsson, Á. (2007). Verb-third in embedded clauses in Icelandic. *Studia Linguistica*, 61(3), 237-260. Retrieved from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/j.1467-9582.2007.00134.x doi: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9582.2007.00134.x
- Angantýsson, Á. (2011). *The syntax of embedded clauses in icelandic and related languages*. Hugvísindastofnun Háskóla Íslands.
- Angantýsson, Á., & Jonas, D. (2016). On the syntax of adverbial clauses in icelandic. *Working Papers in Scandinavian Syntax*, 96, 126–139.
- Angantýsson, Á., Þráinsson, H., & van der Feest Viðarsson, H. F. (2015). Kjarnafærsla, stílfærsla, leppsetningar og frumlagseyða. In *Tilbrigði í íslenskri setningagerð* (Vol. II, p. 275–297). Ísland: Háskólaútgáfan.
- Angantýsson, Ásgrímur & Łukasz Jędrzejowski. (2023). 'Layers of subordinate clauses. A view from causal *af-því-að-*clauses in Icelandic'. In: Łukasz Jędrzejowski & Constanze Fleczoreck (eds.), *Micro- and Macro-variation of Causal Clauses: Synchronic and diachronic insights* (Studies in Language Companion Series), pp. 184–220. Amsterdam: John Benjamins. https://benjamins.com/catalog/slcs.231.07ang
- Badan, L., & Haegeman, L. (2022, 01). The syntax of peripheral adverbial clauses. *Journal of Linguistics*, 58(4), 697–738. Retrieved from http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/s0022226721000463
- Frey, Werner. (2016). About some correlations between formal and interpretative properties of causal clauses. In: Ingo Reich & Augustin Speyer (eds.), *Co- and Subordination in German and Other Languages* [Linguistische Berichte Sonderheft 21], 153–179. Hamburg: Buske.
- Frey, W. (2020). On the categorical status of different dependent clauses. Ms., ZAS Leibniz-Centre General Linguistics, Berlin.
- Goodall, G. (2021). Sentence acceptability experiments: What, how, and why. In G. Goodall

- (Ed.), The cambridge handbook of experimental syntax (p. 7–38). Cambridge University Press. doi: 10.1017/9781108569620.002
- Haegeman, L. (2003). Conditional clauses: External and internal syntax. Mind & Language, 18(4), 317-339. Retrieved from https://onlinelibrary.wiley.com/doi/abs/10.1111/1468-0017.00230 doi: https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-0017.00230
- Haegeman, L. (2010). The internal syntax of adverbial clauses. Lingua, 120(3), 628-648. Retrieved from https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0024384109000606 (Exploring the Left Periphery) doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lingua.2008.07.007
- Haegeman, L. (2012, 10). Main Clause Phenomena and Adverbial Clauses. In Adverbial Clauses, Main Clause Phenomena, and the Composition of the Left Periphery: The Cartography of Syntactic Structures, Volume 8 (pp. 149–194). Oxford University Press. Retrieved from https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199858774.003.0004 doi: 10.1093/acprof:oso/9780199858774.003.0004
- Holmberg, Anders. 2015. 'Verb second'. In: Tibor Kiss & Artemis Alexiadou (eds.), *Syntax Theory and Analysis. An International Handbook III*, 242–283. Berlin: Mouton de Gruyter.
- Krifka, Manfred. 2019. Commitments and beyond. *Theoretical Linguistics*, vol. 45:1-2, 73-91.
- Leiner, D. J. (2019). SoSci Survey [Computer software]. https://www.soscisurvey.de.
- Likert, R. (1932). A technique for the measurement of attitudes. Archives of psychology.
- R Core Team. (2022). R: A language and environment for statistical computing [Computer software manual]. Vienna, Austria. Retrieved from https://www.R-project.org/Schütze, C., & Sprouse, J. (2013, 02). Judgment data. In (p. 27-50). doi: 10.1017/cbo9781139013734.004
- Sprouse, J. (2018). Introduction to experimental syntax methods. Retrieved from https://www.jonsprouse.com/courses/experimental-syntax/ (Accessed on april 17, 2023)
- Sweetser, E. 1990. From Etymology to Pragmatics. Metaphorical and Cultural Aspects of Semantic Structure [Cambridge Studies in Linguistics 54]. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Thráinsson, H. & Á. Angantýsson. 2015. Orðaröð í aukasetningum ['Word order in embedded clauses']. In: Thráinsson, Höskuldur, Ásgrímur Angantýsson and Einar Freyr Sigurðsson (eds.), *Tilbrigði í íslenskri setningagerð. II. Helstu niðurstöður. Tölfræðilegt yfirlit* ['Variation in Icelandic Syntax. II. Main Results. Statistical Overview'], 299–330. Reykjavík: Málvísindastofnun Háskóla Íslands.
- Thráinsson, H., Angantýsson, Á., & Sigurðsson, E. F. (2015). Tilbrigði í íslenskri setningagerð. II. Helstu niðurstöður. Tölfræðilegt yfirlit. Tilbrigði í íslenskri setningagerð, 2.
- Wood, Rebecca Louise. 2016. Investigating the Syntax of Speech Acts: Embedding Illocutionary Force. Doctoral dissertation, University of York, York.

Appendix I: List of test sentences

Dóri litli vill vera grænmetisæta vegna þess að hann vill ekki sjá dýr send í sláturshús.	causal.CAC.V2	4.72	0.302
Dóri litli þóttist vera veikur vegna þess að hann vildi ekki koma með í bátsferð.	causal.CAC.V2	6.18	0.760
Hún er þreytt vegna þess að hún gat ekki sofnað alla nóttina.	causal.CAC.V2	3.27	-0.288
Hún þarf að eyða nóttinni í gistiheimili vegna þess að storminn lægir ekki fyrr en eftir	causal.CAC.V2	3.83	-0.038
morgundaginn.	1.01.0110	0 ==	0.40=
Systir mín bað mig um hjálp með verkefnið sitt af því að hún kunni ekki mjög vel stærðfræði.	causal.CAC.V2	3.75	-0.167
Við þurfum að kaupa nýjan mat handa kettinum okkar af því að hann borðar ekki fisk.	causal.CAC.V2	5.34	0.526
Dóri litli vill vera grænmetisæta vegna þess að hann ekki vill sjá dýr send í sláturshús.	causal.CAC.V3	3.18	-0.403
Dóri litli þóttist vera veikur vegna þess að hann ekki vildi koma með í bátsferð.	causal.CAC.V3	3.46	-0.217
Hún er þreytt vegna þess að hún ekki gat sofnað alla nóttina.	causal.CAC.V3	2.69	-0.496
Hún þarf að eyða nóttinni í gistiheimili vegna þess að storminn ekki lægir fyrr en eftir	causal.CAC.V3	1.52	-0.982
morgundaginn.	Causai.OAC.V3	1.02	-0.362
morgandugiii.			
Systir mín bað mig um hjálp með verkefnið sitt af því að hún ekki kunni mjög vel stærðfræði.	causal.CAC.V3	1.78	-0.941
Við þurfum að kaupa nýjan mat handa kettinum okkar af því að hann ekki borðar fisk.	causal.CAC.V3	3.02	-0.485
Af hverju ættum við að fara á fiskveitingastað, af því að hann borðar aldrei fisk.	causal.NON-IC.V2	2.00	-0.793
Hvað ertu að gera í kvöld, af því að ég er ekki með neitt plan.	causal.NON-IC.V2	4.06	0.003
Hvernig er veðrið um helgina, af því að ég vil ekki eyða helgafríinu í að horfa á Netflix.	causal.NON-IC.V2	3.74	-0.032
Hvernig ertu fjárhagslega, af því að ég get ekki borgað leigu í þessum mánuði.	causal.NON-IC.V2	3.31	-0.311
Veist þú hvort það er eitthvað gott í sýningu í leikhúsunum, af þvi að ég vil ekki keyra til suðurs	causal.NON-IC.V2	2.46	-0.701
til einskis.			
Ætlarðu einn í bíó, af því að þú spurðir ekki hvort ég vildi koma með.	causal.NON-IC.V2	4.00	-0.102
Af hverju ættum við að fara á fiskveitingastað, af því að hann aldrei borðar fisk.	causal.NON-IC.V3	1.64	-0.942
Hvað ertu að gera í kvöld, af því að ég ekki er með neitt plan.	causal.NON-IC.V3	3.46	-0.299
Hvernig er veðrið um helgina, af því að ég ekki vil eyða helgafríinu í að horfa á Netflix.	causal.NON-IC.V3	2.46	-0.620
Hvernig er veorio um neigina, ar því að ég ekki vil eyða neigarrinu í að norna á Netinx. Hvernig ertu fjárhagslega, af því að ég ekki get borgað leigu í þessum mánuði.		2.68	
	causal.NON-IC.V3		-0.624
Veist þú hvort það er eitthvað gott í sýningu í leikhúsunum, af þvi að ég ekki vil keyra til suðurs	causal.NON-IC.V3	1.94	-0.864
til einskis.	I NON ICIVI	1.50	1.076
Ætlarðu einn í bíó, af því að þú ekki spurðir hvort ég vildi koma með.	causal.NON-IC.V3	1.52	-1.076
Haraldur hefur líklega slitið öllu sambandi við Þóru því hann vill ekki tala við hana.	causal.PAC.V2	5.96	0.745
Hún hefur líklega kynnst nýjum kærasta af því að hún kom aldrei til baka.	causal.PAC.V2	4.30	0.165
Kötturinn okkar er líklega veikur fyrst hann hefur ekki klárað matinn sinn í nokkra daga.	causal.PAC.V2	6.18	0.770
Selma hlýtur að vera í uppnámi fyrst hún svaraði ekki símanum sínum allan daginn.	causal.PAC.V2	5.19	0.463
Stefán hlýtur að vera grænmetisæta vegna þess að hann vill aldrei borða kjöt.	causal.PAC.V2	6.03	0.776
Stefán hlýtur að vera lofthræddur af því að hann hefur aldrei farið í flugvél.	causal.PAC.V2	5.65	0.594
Stelan inyen ao vera iotem addur ai pvi ao nami nerui aidre iano i nugvei.	Causai.1 AC. V 2	0.00	0.034
Haraldur hefur líklega slitið öllu sambandi við Þóru því hann ekki vill tala við hana.	causal.PAC.V3	2.93	-0.403
Hún hefur líklega kynnst nýjum kærasta af því að hún aldrei kom til baka.	causal.PAC.V3	2.11	-0.748
Kötturinn okkar er líklega veikur fyrst hann ekki hefur klárað matinn sinn í nokkra daga.	causal.PAC.V3	3.06	-0.389
Selma hlýtur að vera í uppnámi fyrst hún ekki svaraði símanum sínum allan daginn.	causal.PAC.V3	2.84	-0.509
Stefán hlýtur að vera grænmetisæta vegna þess að hann aldrei vill borða kjöt.	causal.PAC.V3	1.86	-0.917
Sectal myth ac vera grammensatia vegna pess ac mann antier vin boroa ajou.	Cadsaii 110. V 5	1.00	-0.511
Stefán hlýtur að vera lofthræddur af því að hann aldrei hefur farið í flugvél.	causal.PAC.V3	2.75	-0.540
Anna getur vel lesið skiparnir í kóðun þótt hún kunni ekki að kóða.	concessive.PAC.V2	5.50	0.664
Haraldur keyrði norður þrátt fyrir að bíllinn hans fengi ekki skoðun.	concessive.PAC.V2	6.22	0.888
Hún ætlar að fara með fjölskylduna á flugvöllinn þó að hún verði ekki með í ferðalaginu.	concessive.PAC.V2	5.27	0.395
Mér fannst önnur bókin hennar mjög góð þó að ég næði ekki að klára þá fyrstu.	concessive.PAC.V2	5.97	0.761
			0.400
Snorri náði að klára fiskisúpuna þótt honum líki venjulega ekki fiskur.	concessive.PAC.V2	5.40	0.490
Systir mín ætlar að fara í fjallgöngu þótt hún hafi ekki hreyft sig neitt að ráði í langan tíma.	concessive.PAC.V2	6.38	0.922
Anna getur vel lesið skiparnir í kóðun þótt hún ekki kunni að kóða.	concessive.PAC.V3	3.65	-0.140
Haraldur keyrði norður þrátt fyrir að bíllinn hans ekki fengi skoðun.	concessive.PAC.V3	2.88	-0.517
Hún ætlar að fara með fjölskylduna á flugvöllinn þó að hún ekki verði með í ferðalaginu.	concessive.PAC.V3	1.91	-0.908
Mér fannst önnur bókin hennar mjög góð þó að ég ekki næði að klára þá fyrstu.	concessive.PAC.V3	3.19	-0.237
Snorri náði að klára fiskisúpuna þótt honum ekki líki venjulega fiskur.	concessive.PAC.V3	2.09	-0.809
Systir mín ætlar að fara í fjallgöngu þótt hún ekki hafi hreyft sig neitt að ráði í langan tíma.	concessive.PAC.V3	3.20	-0.399
Dóttir hennar grætur og grætur ef hún fær ekki að koma með að labba með hundinn.	conditional.CAC.V2	4.49	0.117
Hann kemur bara á morgun ef hann hefur ekki tíma til þess í dag.	conditional.CAC.V2	6.18	0.862
The state of the s		0120	0.002
Hún kemur örugglega í bíó í kvöld nema henni takist ekki að ná í miða.	conditional.CAC.V2	5.48	0.549
Hún ætlar í fjallgöngu un helgina nema veðrið verði ekki gott.	conditional.CAC.V2	4.31	0.141
Mótmælin munu halda áfram ef ríkisstjórnin kemur ekki með betra boð.	conditional.CAC.V2	6.59	0.924
Það er ekki hægt að taka ferðamenn í köfunarferð ef þeir kunna ekki að synda.	conditional.CAC.V2	5.78	0.671
Dóttir hennar grætur og grætur ef hún ekki fær að koma með að labba með hundinn.	conditional.CAC.V3	2.85	-0.452
	ler LOAGNO	0.00	0.960
Hann kemur bara á morgun ef hann ekki hefur tíma til þess í dag.	conditional.CAC.V3	3.23	-0.362
Hún kemur örugglega í bíó í kvöld nema henni ekki takist að ná í miða.	conditional.CAC.V3	2.32	-0.734
Hún ætlar í fjallgöngu un helgina nema veðrið ekki verði gott.	conditional.CAC.V3	1.72	-0.915
Mótmælin munu halda áfram ef ríkisstjórnin ekki kemur með betra boð.	conditional.CAC.V3	2.86	-0.463
Það er ekki hægt að taka ferðamenn í köfunarferð ef þeir ekki kunna að synda.	conditional.CAC.V3	2.90	-0.387
Af hverju fer hann í söngvakeppni ef hann kann ekki að syngja?	conditional.PAC.V2	6.03	0.757
Hann fékk líklega nýja vinnu ef hann kemur ekki lengur á kaffihús daglega.	conditional.PAC.V2	2.46	-0.702
Hann kann líklega ekki að synda ef hann fer aldrei í sund.	conditional.PAC.V2	4.51	0.214
Hann verður líklega heima með börnunum sínum ef hann kemur ekki í bíó í kvöld.	conditional.PAC.V2	5.29	0.444
A TOUR TAILOGU HOIME MOO DOTHUMUM SINUM EI HAIHI ACHIUI CAAI I DIO I AVOIU.	CONCENSIONALLI AC. V Z	0.25	0.444

TestSent	Coding	Mean response	Mean z-scores
Hann þarf líklega á hjálp að halda ef hann hættir ekki að reykja bráðum.	conditional.PAC.V2	5.71	0.734
Hún hlýtur að vera veik ef hún kemur ekki á æfingu í dag.	conditional.PAC.V2	6.01	0.677
Af hverju fer hann í söngvakeppni ef hann ekki kann að syngja?	conditional.PAC.V3	3.27	-0.229
Hann fékk líklega nýja vinnu ef hann ekki kemur lengur á kaffihús daglega.	conditional.PAC.V3	1.46	-1.059
Hann kann líklega ekki að synda ef hann aldrei fer í sund.	conditional.PAC.V3	3.02	-0.460
Hann verður líklega heima með börnunum sínum ef hann ekki kemur í bíó í kvöld.	conditional.PAC.V3	2.74	-0.590
Hann þarf líklega á hjálp að halda ef hann ekki hættir að reykja bráðum.	conditional.PAC.V3	3.20	-0.346
Hún hlýtur að vera veik ef hún ekki kemur á æfingu í dag.	conditional.PAC.V3	2.66	-0.567
Andri ætlar að koma heim fyrir helgina svo að hann missi ekki af afmælisveislu dóttur sinnar.	purpose.CAC.V2	6.26	0.950
Hann pantaði pizzu heim þannig að hann þyrfti ekki að fara út í þessu veðri.	purpose.CAC.V2	4.89	0.241
Haraldur ætlar að stilla vekjaraklukkuna svo að hann vakni ekki of seint fyrir atvinnuviðtalið.	purpose.CAC.V2	6.28	0.904
Hún keypti eigin gönguskíði þannig að hún þurfi ekki að bíða í röð til að leigja þau.	purpose.CAC.V2	4.47	0.140
Við ætlum að bjóða Haraldi heim til okkar þannig að hann verði ekki einn um jólin.	purpose.CAC.V2	5.97	0.750
Við þurfum að takmarka matarneysluna hjá kettinum okkar svo að hann verði ekki of feitur.	purpose.CAC.V2	5.46	0.585
Andri ætlar að koma heim fyrir helgina svo að hann ekki missi af afmælisveislu dóttur sinnar.	purpose.CAC.V3	2.77	-0.487
Hann pantaði pizzu heim þannig að hann ekki þyrfti að fara út í þessu veðri.	purpose.CAC.V3	2.03	-0.830
remarkation bearing as many court by the court of the cou	parposonerron	2100	0.000
Haraldur ætlar að stilla vekjaraklukkuna svo að hann ekki vakni of seint fyrir atvinnuviðtalið.	purpose.CAC.V3	1.99	-0.889
Hún keypti eigin gönguskíði þannig að hún ekki þurfi að bíða í röð til að leigja þau.	purpose.CAC.V3	2.22	-0.740
Við ætlum að bjóða Haraldi heim til okkar þannig að hann ekki verði einn um jólin.	purpose.CAC.V3	3.46	-0.174
Við þurfum að takmarka matarneysluna hjá kettinum okkar svo að hann ekki verði of feitur.	purpose.CAC.V3	3.85	-0.106
Hann gerði bananabrauð með gömlum bönunum þannig að hann þurfti ekki að henda þeim.	result.PAC.V2	4.22	0.029
THE COLOR BY	I. DACLVO	4.94	0.279
Hún fékk far hjá manninum sínum þannig að hún kom ekki of seint í vinnuna.	result.PAC.V2		
Stéfan fékk matareitrun eftir að hafa borðað kjúkling þannig að hann vill aldrei borða svoleiðis mat aftur.	result.PAC.V2	4.99	0.418
	It DAC VO	2.00	-0.797
Við festum hilluna betur svo að hún datt ekki niður aftur.	result.PAC.V2	4.11	
Ég faldi bókina svo að hann gat ekki lesið hana.	result.PAC.V2		0.010
Ég missti samband við menntaskólavini mína svo að ég sá ekki nema suma þeirra aftur.	result.PAC.V2	4.28	0.120
Hann gerði bananabrauð með gömlum bönunum þannig að hann ekki þurfti að henda þeim.	result.PAC.V3	2.27	-0.704
Hún fékk far hjá manninum sínum þannig að hún ekki kom of seint í vinnuna.	result.PAC.V3	2.20	-0.789
Stéfan fékk matareitrun eftir að hafa borðað kjúkling þannig að hann aldrei vill borða svoleiðis	result.PAC.V3	1.93	-0.811
mat aftur.			
Við festum hilluna betur svo að hún ekki datt niður aftur.	result.PAC.V3	1.43	-1.030
Ég faldi bókina svo að hann ekki gat lesið hana.	result.PAC.V3	2.52	-0.667
Ég missti samband við menntaskólavini mína svo að ég ekki sá nema suma þeirra aftur.	result.PAC.V3	2.18	-0.845
Börnin mín voru ósátt þegar þau fengu ekki öskudagsbúninga í ár.	temporal.CAC.V2	5.74	0.674
Hann gafst upp á að keyra eftir að hann stóðst ekki bílprófið í fimmta skipti.	temporal.CAC.V2	5.48	0.555
Hún tók kökuna úr ofninum þegar hún var ekki fullbökuð ennþá.	temporal.CAC.V2	3.29	-0.260
Kötturinn minn mjálmar og mjálmar þegar hann fær ekki nóg að borða á morgnana.	temporal.CAC.V2	6.32	0.823
Sindri fékk að vinna hjá pabba sínum meðan hann var ekki með fasta vinnu.	temporal.CAC.V2	6.24	0.821
Unga parið átti erfitt með að ná endum saman meðan þau fengu ekki atvinnuleysisbætur.	temporal.CAC.V2	5.70	0.670
Börnin mín voru ósátt þegar þau ekki fengu öskudagsbúninga í ár.	temporal.CAC.V3	2.35	-0.734
Hann gafst upp á að keyra eftir að hann ekki stóðst bílprófið í fimmta skipti.	temporal.CAC.V3	2.21	-0.689
Hánn tók kökuna úr ofninum þegar hún ekki var fullbökuð ennþá.	temporal.CAC.V3	2.10	-0.752
The control of officers and care we follow the care we have	temporal. erro. vo	2.10	-0.102
Kötturinn minn mjálmar og mjálmar þegar hann ekki fær nóg að borða á morgnana.	temporal.CAC.V3	2.75	-0.466
Sindri fékk að vinna hjá pabba sínum meðan hann ekki var með fasta vinnu.	temporal.CAC.V3	3.39	-0.309
Unga parið átti erfitt með að ná endum saman meðan þau ekki fengu atvinnuleysisbætur.	temporal.CAC.V3	2.82	-0.538
Sindri hefur farið til Spánar þrisvar meðan ég fékk aldrei að fara til útlanda.	temporal.PAC.V2	5.15	0.408
Stebbi er búinn að skrifa drög að ritgerðinni sinni meðan ég hef ekki einu sinni byrjað að safna	temporal.PAC.V2	5.80	0.624
gögnum fyrir mína.	•		
Stúdentarnir pöntuðu ný einstök á meðan þeir sýndu ekki neinn áhuga á að nota þau gömlu.	temporal.PAC.V2	2.69	-0.542
Sóley er búin að prjóna margar peysur þegar ég get ekki einu sinni sett lykkjur á prjóna.	temporal.PAC.V2	3.96	0.020
Á meðan þeir nota aldrei mínar bækur í kennslu, nota þeir þínar bækur í tveimur námskeiðum.	temporal.PAC.V2	3.74	-0.177
Þegar ég gat ekki einu sinni keypt bíl voru allir að kaupa íbúð.	temporal.PAC.V2	5.82	0.755
Sindri hefur farið til Spánar þrisvar meðan ég aldrei fékk að fara til útlanda.	temporal.PAC.V3	2.54	-0.649
Stebbi er búinn að skrifa drög að ritgerðinni sinni meðan ég ekki hef einu sinni byrjað að safna	temporal.PAC.V3	2.72	-0.555
gögnum fyrir mína.	1 DAGTES	4.00	4.6
Stúdentarnir pöntuðu ný einstök á meðan þeir ekki sýndu neinn áhuga á að nota þau gömlu.	temporal.PAC.V3	1.36	-1.041
Sóley er búin að prjóna margar peysur þegar ég ekki get einu sinni sett lykkjur á prjóna.	temporal.PAC.V3	2.01	-0.775
Á meðan þeir aldrei nota mínar bækur í kennslu, nota þeir þínar bækur í tveimur námskeiðum. Þegar ég ekki gat einu sinni keypt bíl voru allir að kaupa íbúð.	temporal.PAC.V3	1.50	-1.105
	temporal.PAC.V3	2.97	-0.459

Appendix II: Results from statistical hypothesis testing

Syntactic types:

order	.y.	group1	group2	n1	n2	statistic	P	p.adj	p.adj.signif
V2	zscores	CAC	PAC	1221	2442	-0.223	0.824	1.000	ns
V2	zscores	CAC	NON-IC	1221	407	-15.525	0.000	0.000	****
V2	zscores	PAC	NON-IC	2442	407	-16.451	0.000	0.000	****
V3	zscores	CAC	PAC	1221	2442	-1.814	0.070	0.209	ns
V3	zscores	CAC	NON-IC	1221	407	-3.347	0.001	0.002	**
V3	zscores	PAC	NON-IC	2442	407	-2.391	0.017	0.050	ns

Semantic types:

·y·	group1	group2	n1	n2	statistic	P	p.adj	p.adj.signif
zscores	causal	concessive	1221	407	3.217	0.001	0.019	*
zscores	causal	conditional	1221	814	2.375	0.018	0.263	ns
zscores	causal	purpose	1221	407	2.006	0.045	0.672	ns
zscores	causal	result	1221	407	-3.847	0.000	0.002	**
zscores	causal	temporal	1221	814	-0.823	0.411	1.000	ns
zscores	concessive	conditional	407	814	-1.262	0.207	1.000	ns
zscores	concessive	purpose	407	407	-0.988	0.323	1.000	ns
zscores	concessive	result	407	407	-5.767	0.000	0.000	****
zscores	concessive	temporal	407	814	-3.646	0.000	0.004	**
zscores	conditional	purpose	814	407	0.121	0.903	1.000	ns
zscores	conditional	result	814	407	-5.397	0.000	0.000	****
zscores	conditional	temporal	814	814	-2.919	0.004	0.053	ns
zscores	purpose	result	407	407	-4.779	0.000	0.000	****
zscores	purpose	temporal	407	814	-2.505	0.012	0.184	ns
zscores	result	temporal	407	814	3.014	0.003	0.039	*

Age:

.y.	group1	group2	n1	n2	statistic	Р	p.adj	p.adj.signif
zscores	16-29	30-39	270	730	1.106	0.269	1.000	ns
zscores	16-29	40-49	270	910	3.252	0.001	0.011	*
zscores	16-29	50-59	270	860	3.589	0.000	0.003	**
zscores	16-29	60 or older	270	1300	4.887	0.000	0.000	****
zscores	30-39	40-49	730	910	2.950	0.003	0.032	*
zscores	30-39	50-59	730	860	3.409	0.001	0.007	**
zscores	30-39	60 or older	730	1300	5.362	0.000	0.000	****
zscores	40 - 49	50-59	910	860	0.525	0.600	1.000	ns
zscores	40 - 49	60 or older	910	1300	2.347	0.019	0.189	ns
zscores	50 - 59	60 or older	860	1300	1.740	0.082	0.819	ns