## On (the diachrony of) jakoby-clauses in Polish

Łukasz Jędrzejowski (University of Cologne)

In this talk, I will examine the development and use of dependent clauses in Polish introduced by the complementizer jakoby (lit. 'as if') and show which factors in the lexical meaning of jakoby were responsible for the semantic change that it underwent.

In the Old Polish example given in (1), the dependent clause is introduced by the hypothetical comparative complementizer jakoby ('as if') and it is embedded under the matrix predicate  $widzie\acute{e}$  ('seem'), expressing indirect inferential evidence:

```
widziało
(1) ludziem
                                    tako
                                          byto
                        ziemi
     people.DAT
                       earth.LOC
                                          be.l-PTCP.3SG.N
                                                            seem. I-PTCP.3SG.N
                  on
                                   SO
     jakoby
              sie
                           na
                                nie
                                        obalić
                                                  bylo
                                                                    chciało
                      ono
                                        slay.INF
                                                  be.l-PTCP.3SG.N be.l-PTCP.3SG.N
     jakoby
              REFL
                     it
                            on
                                them
     'the people on earth interpreted it as if it wanted to slay all of them'
     (KG, Kazanie I: Na Boże Narodzenie 26-7)
```

In Old Polish, jakoby-clauses can be embedded only under verbs of seeming. In other words, the structure seem as if p is used instead of seem that p if what the available evidence suggests is somehow in conflict with what the speaker believes or used to believe. In Present-day Polish, in turn, as illustrated in (2), the jakoby-clause is embedded under the speech verb  $zaprzecza\acute{c}$  ('deny'):

```
(2) Firma
                 zaprzeczała,
                                       jakoby
                                                 byty
                 {\rm deny.} \textit{l}\text{-PTCP.3SG.N}
     company
                                      jakoby
                                                 be. l-PTCP.PL.N-VIR
     zgloszenia
                          wadliwych
                                       kartach.
                                       cards.LOC
     reports
                  about
                          faulty
     'The company denied that there supposedly were any reports about faulty prepaid cards.'
     (NKJP, Dziennik Zachodni, 27/9/2006)
```

The complementizer jakoby is not interpreted as a hypothetical comparative conjunction as if any longer, but as a hearsay complementizer ( $\approx that + allegedly$ ). Interestingly, neither Czech nor Slovak have experienced this change.

Based on Faller (2011) and Murray (2017), I will present account showing that the change of *jakoby* involved two main developments: First, the meaning of *jakoby* was broadened to allow for inferences from reportative information (compatible with, but not enforced by its *seem*-type embedding verbs). Second, the reportative flavor acquired by *jakoby* licensed its use in complements of speech verbs. Since these new contexts were no longer compatible with the original inferential meaning, they ultimately lead to the inability to use *jakoby* in its original contexts, cf. (3):

```
(3) *Firmie wydaje się, jakoby ...
company.DAT seem.3SG REFL jakoby
Intended meaning: 'It seems to the company as if ...'
```

References

Faller, Martina (2011): A possible worlds semantics for Cuzco Quechua evidentials, in:  $Proceedings \ of \ SALT \ 20 \ ed.$  by Nan Li and David Lutz, eLanguage, 660-683.

Murray, Sarah E. (2017): The Semantics of Evidentials. Oxford: Oxford University Press.